Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Operator: AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY	Operator ID#: 32513
Inspection Date(s): 9/22/2015, 9/23/2015, 9/24/2015	Man Days: 3
Inspection Unit: Effingham	
Location of Audit: Effingham	
Exit Meeting Contact: Kevin Glaspy	
Inspection Type: Design Testing and Construction	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Donald Hankins	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Michael Fuller	
Company Representative's Email Address: mfuller2@ameren.com	

	CONSTRUCTION IN	NFORMATION
Location of Construction:		Construction Performed By:
2100 Bourgeois Road, Effingham, Illinois		Ameren Illinois Crew
Contractor Foreman:	No contractors on this job	b
Operator Inspector:	Kevin Glaspy, Quality Ass	surance
	Person(s) On Jo	Job Site
Dexter Hille	Jim Brian	n
	•	

Description of Construction:

An Ameren crew was installing a new gas main of 4 inch Driscoplex pipe at 2100 Bourgeois Road in Effingham, Illinois.

	MAIN INSTALLATION	Status
[192.55]	Steel	No
General Comment:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
No steel pipe was being installed	at this job site.	
[192.59]	PE	Yes
General Comment:	<u> </u>	•
The new gas main being installed	d was 4 inch Driscoplex pipe.	
[192.59]	Other	No

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/9-24-2015

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General Comment:		
No other pipe was being installed during this audit.		
[192.5]	Class location :	class 3
[192.619,192.621,192.623]	MAOP	60# MAOP
[192.63]	Pipe Size :	4 Inch
[192.55,192.59]	Specification:	ASTM D-2513
[192.55,192.59]	Manufacturer:	Driscoplex
[192.55,192.59]	Pipe Grade :	PE 3408/4710
[192.55,192.59]	Wall Thickness :	0.391
[192.63(a)(2)]	Are pipe, valves, and fittings properly marked for identification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Pipe and fittings were properly marked for use on this join	b.	
[192.227]	Date of Welder qualification:	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No welding was performed on this job.		
[192.227]	Welder's Name:	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No welding was performed on this job.		
[192.225,192.275,192.277,192.279,192.283]	Is pipe joined in accordance with approved written procedures?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The gas main was installed according to the O&M proce	dures.	
[192.285]	Date of Plastic Joining Qualification :	2-12-15 to 2-12-16
[192.285]	Joiner's name:	Dexter Hille
[192.455]	Is buried metallic pipe coated?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The area where work was being performed was all plast	ic pipe.	
[192.461]	Does coating meet 192.461?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This was an all plastic system.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
		•

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

This was an all plastic system.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Anodes:	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This was an all plastic system.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Rectifier:	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This was an all plastic system.		
[192.461(c)]	Is coating inspected just prior to being installed in the ditch?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This was an all plastic system.		
[192.467]	Are pipelines electrically isolated from other underground metallic structures?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No underground metallic structures in the area of work b	peing performed.	
[192.479]	Are above ground facilities cleaned and coated or jacketed as needed?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
There were no above ground piping installed during this	audit.	
[192.303]	Are comprehensive written construction specifications available and adhered to?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Ameren had written specification with them during this in	nstallation.	
[192.305]	Are inspections performed by the operator to check adherence to the construction specifications?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Pipe was installed according to written procedures.		
[192.307]	Is material being visually inspected at the site of installation to ensure against damage that could impair its serviceability?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Pipe was inspected by employees at the site before being	ng installed into the ditch.	
[192.319]	Is ditch back-filled to provide firm support and prevent damage to pipe or coating?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Pipe was back-filled to provide support and prevent dan	nage to the pipe.	

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/9-24-2015

Page 3 of 6

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

[192.321(c)]	Is plastic pipe installed as to minimize shear and tensile forces?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Pipe was installed to minimize shear and tensile forces	on the pipe.	
[192.321(e)]	Does plastic pipe have means of locating while underground?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Tracer wire was included with the pipe in the ditch.		
[192.325]	Are required clearances from underground structures being maintained?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No other underground structures are in the area of the p	ipe installation.	
[192.327]	Is required cover being obtained appropriate to type of pipeline and location?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Pipe was installed at about 36 inches deep.		
[192.503]	Are general testing requirements being met?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Staff did not see the pressure test on this main.		
[192.517]	Are records being made of strength and leak tests?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Staff did not see the pressure test on this main.		
[192.807]	Were covered employees Operator Qualification records reviewed to ensure qualification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the operator qualification of the employee	es on this job.	
[192.805(c)]	Were non-qualified personnel being directed and observed by a qualified individual?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
All employees on this job were qualified for the work.		
[192.805(c)]	Were span of control limitations being followed?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
All employees on this job were qualified for the work.		
Was a Protocol 9 (Form 15) Completed?		No
General Comment:	•	

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/9-24-2015

Page 4 of 6

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Dig Ticket # Are adequate steps taken to minimize the potential for accidental ignition?	A002582485 Satisfactory
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Satisfactory
	_
t the time of installation of this pipe.	
Was each repair made by welding to a transmission line made in accordance with 192.713, 192.715, and 192.717 and examined in accordance with 192.241?	Not Applicable
,	Was each repair made by welding to a transmission line made in accordance with 192.713, 192.715, and 192.717 and

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.